

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,211	MORRILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erin Snelting	1791	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Erin Snelting.

(3) _____.

(2) J. Philip Polster.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated allowability of claims 11, 14, 15, 64, 65, and 67. Examiner proposed amendments to claim 68 and 70 and cancellation of claims 27, 29, 30-32, 37, and 38, in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative conferred with Applicant and supplied amendments via facsimile (see attached) as reflected in the Examiner's Amendment (also attached).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason L Lazorcik/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)